Semi-device-independent certification of indefinite causal order

Marco Túlio Quintino

December 18, 2019

Quantum 3, 176 (2019), arXiv:1903.10526

Jessica Bavaresco, Mateus Araujo, Časlav Brukner, Marco Túlio Quintino





Find minimal assumptions for some particular claim

Find minimal assumptions for some particular claim

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ(()

Claim more with some fixed set of assumptions

- Find minimal assumptions for some particular claim
- Claim more with some fixed set of assumptions
- Extracting maximal conclusions of physical experiments

(ロ)、

Quantum entanglement

$\rho_{AB} \neq \sum_{\lambda} \pi(\lambda) \rho_{A|\lambda} \otimes \rho_{B|\lambda}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Certification of entanglement

 $p(ab|xy) = \operatorname{tr}(\rho_{AB}\bar{A}_{a|x}\otimes\bar{B}_{b|y})$ Х S ・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト

Certification of entanglement

$$p(ab|xy) = \operatorname{tr}(\rho_{AB}\bar{A}_{a|x}\otimes\bar{B}_{b|y})$$

▶ "Just" set $\bar{A}_{a|x}$ and $\bar{B}_{b|y}$ as trusted tomography complete measurements

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Certification of entanglement

$$p(ab|xy) = \operatorname{tr}(\rho_{AB}\bar{A}_{a|x}\otimes\bar{B}_{b|y})$$

▶ "Just" set $\bar{A}_{a|x}$ and $\bar{B}_{b|y}$ as trusted tomography complete measurements

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Or just violate some entanglement witness



$$p(ab|xy) \neq \sum_{\lambda} \pi(\lambda) p_A(a|x,\lambda) p_B(b|y,\lambda)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

► If $p(ab|xy) \neq \sum_{\lambda} \pi(\lambda) p_A(a|x,\lambda) p_B(b|y,\lambda)$

▶ and the probabilities respect $p(ab|xy) = tr(\rho_{AB}A_{a|x} \otimes B_{b|y})$

If

$$p(ab|xy) \neq \sum_{\lambda} \pi(\lambda) p_A(a|x,\lambda) p_B(b|y,\lambda)$$

▶ and the probabilities respect $p(ab|xy) = tr(\rho_{AB}A_{a|x} \otimes B_{b|y})$

Device-independent entanglement certification

If

$$p(ab|xy) \neq \sum_{\lambda} \pi(\lambda) p_A(a|x,\lambda) p_B(b|y,\lambda)$$

- ▶ and the probabilities respect $p(ab|xy) = tr(\rho_{AB}A_{a|x} \otimes B_{b|y})$
- Device-independent entanglement certification
- Bell inequalities are device-independent entanglement witnesses



Certify entanglement with less hypothesis

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Certify entanglement with less hypothesis

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Allows device-independent protocols

- Certify entanglement with less hypothesis
- Allows device-independent protocols
- Some entangled states cannot be certified in a device-independent way (*e.g.*, some Werner states)

- Certify entanglement with less hypothesis
- Allows device-independent protocols
- Some entangled states cannot be certified in a device-independent way (*e.g.*, some Werner states)

It is experimentally challenging

Analyse p(ab|xy) assuming Bob's measurements are known

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Analyse p(ab|xy) assuming Bob's measurements are known

$$p(ab|xy)^Q = tr(\rho_{AB}A_{a|x} \otimes \bar{B}_{b|y})$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

$$p(ab|xy)^Q = \mathrm{tr}(\rho_{AB}A_{a|x}\otimes\bar{B}_{b|y})$$

Separable state limitation:

$$p(ab|xy)^{\mathsf{sep}} = \mathsf{tr}(
ho_{AB}^{\mathsf{sep}} A_{a|x} \otimes \bar{B}_{b|y})$$

Analyse p(ab|xy) assuming Bob's measurements are known

$$p(ab|xy)^Q = \mathrm{tr}(\rho_{AB}A_{a|x}\otimes\bar{B}_{b|y})$$

Separable state limitation:

$$p(ab|xy)^{sep} = tr(\rho_{AB}^{sep}A_{a|x}\otimes \bar{B}_{b|y})$$

Unsteerable assemblage:

$$\begin{split} p(ab|xy) &= \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{a|x}^{\mathrm{uns}}\bar{B}_{b|y}) \\ \sigma_{a|x}^{\mathrm{uns}} &:= \operatorname{tr}_{A}(\rho_{AB}^{\mathrm{sep}}A_{a|x}\otimes I)) \end{split}$$

Steering interpretation



- Steering interpretation
- Certifies more states than full device-independent (but still not all)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Steering interpretation
- Certifies more states than full device-independent (but still not all)

Experimentally simpler

- Steering interpretation
- Certifies more states than full device-independent (but still not all)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

- Experimentally simpler
- One-side device independent protocols
 C. Branciard, E.G. Cavalcanti, S. Walborn, V. Scarani, H. M. Wiseman, PRA (2012)

- Steering interpretation
- Certifies more states than full device-independent (but still not all)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

- Experimentally simpler
- One-side device independent protocols
 C. Branciard, E.G. Cavalcanti, S. Walborn, V. Scarani, H. M. Wiseman, PRA (2012)
- Provides technical tools and good insights for the device-independent case

Abstract view on bipartite quantum systems



$p(ab|xy) = f(A_{a|x}, B_{b|y})$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● の < ○

Abstract view on bipartite quantum states

The most general bi-linear function $f(A_{a|x}, B_{b|y})$ that extract valid probability distributions from quantum measurements¹ given by POVMs $A_{a|x} \in L(A)$ and $B_{b|y} \in L(B)$ is given by

 $\operatorname{tr}(\rho_{AB}A_{a|x}\otimes B_{b|y})$,

where $\rho_{AB} \in L(A \otimes B)$ is a quantum state.

¹And their trivial extensions.

More general scenarios

And what if the parties can have a causal relation and exchange quantum systems?

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ(()

More general scenarios

- And what if the parties can have a causal relation and exchange quantum systems?
- ▶ Instead of POVMs, Alice and Bob have quantum instruments

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

More general scenarios

- And what if the parties can have a causal relation and exchange quantum systems?
- Instead of POVMs, Alice and Bob have quantum instruments

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Quantum instruments have a "classical output" and a "quantum output" The most general bi-linear function $f(A_{a|x}, B_{b|y}) = \operatorname{tr}(A_{a|x} \otimes B_{b|y} W)$ that extract valid probability distributions from quantum instruments² given by the Choi operators $A_{a|x} \in L(A_I \otimes A_O)$ and $B_{b|y} \in L(B_I \otimes B_O)$

²And their trivial extensions.

Process matrix

► If $tr(A_{a|x} \otimes B_{b|y} W) = p(ab|xy)^{NS}$ is non-signalling, the process is a quantum state $W = \rho_{A_l,B_l} \otimes I_{A_O,B_O}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Process matrix

If tr(A_{a|x} ⊗ B_{b|y} W) = p(ab|xy)^{NS} is non-signalling, the process is a quantum state W = ρ_{A_I,B_I} ⊗ I_{A_O,B_O}
 If tr(A_{a|x} ⊗ B_{b|y} W) = p(ab|xy)^{A<B}, the process W^{A<B} is a channel with memory/comb/ordered circuit

Quantum Channels with Memory (2005) D. Kretschmann, R. F. Werner

Transforming quantum operations: quantum supermaps (2008)

G. Chiribella, G. M. D'Ariano, P. Perinotti enditemize

Causally separable process matrix

Causally separable:

$$W^{\mathsf{sep}} = qW^{A < B} + (1 - q)W^{B < A}$$
,

for some probability
$$0 \le q \le 1$$
.

Quantum correlations with no causal order (2011) O. Oreshkov, F. Costa, C. Brukner The most general bi-linear function $f(A_{a|x}, B_{b|y})$ that extract valid probability distributions from quantum instruments³ given by the Choi operators $A_{a|x} \in L(A_I \otimes A_O)$ and $B_{b|y} \in L(B_I \otimes B_O)$ is

$$p(ab|xy) = tr(W A_{a|x} \otimes B_{b|y}),$$

where $W \in L(A_I \otimes A_O \otimes B_I \otimes B_O)$ is a process matrix.

Quantum correlations with no causal order (2011) O. Oreshkov, F. Costa, C. Brukner

³And their trivial extensions.
$W \in L(A_I \otimes A_O \otimes B_I \otimes B_O)$ is a process matrix iff

$$W \ge 0;$$

$$A_{I}A_{O}W = A_{I}A_{O}B_{O}W;$$

$$B_{I}B_{O}W = B_{I}B_{O}A_{O}W;$$

$$W + A_{O}B_{O}W = B_{O}W + A_{O}W;$$

$$tr(W) = d_{A_{O}}d_{B_{O}}.$$
(1)

Device-dependent certification non-causal separability

Given a set of probabilities p(ab|xy) and instruments $\bar{A}_{a|x}, \bar{B}_{b|y}$

$$p(ab|xy) = \operatorname{tr}(W\bar{A}_{a|x} \otimes \bar{B}_{b|y})$$

Causal witness: Witnessing causal nonseparability (2015) M. Araújo, C. Branciard, F. Costa, A. Feix, C. Giarmatzi, Č. Brukner Device-independent certification non-causal separability

Given a set of probabilities p(ab|xy)

$$p(ab|xy) = tr(W A_{a|x} \otimes B_{b|y})$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Causal games: The simplest causal inequalities and their violation C. Branciard, M. Araújo, A. Feix, F. Costa, Č. Brukner Semi-device-dependent certification non-causal separability

How about semi-device independent?

Semi-device-dependent certification non-causal separability

Given a set of probabilities p(ab|xy) and instruments $\bar{B}_{b|y}$ does there exist a causally separable process matrix W^{sep} and instruments $A_{a|x}$

$$p(ab|xy) = tr(WA_{a|x} \otimes \bar{B}_{b|y})$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Semi-device-dependent certification non-causal separability

Device-dependent

Given quantities	Variables
$\{p^Q(ab xy)\}$	W
$\{\overline{A}_{a x}\}$, $\{\overline{B}_{b y}\}$	

Device-independent

Given quantities	Variables
$\frac{\left\{p^{Q}(ab xy)\right\}}{\left\{p^{Q}(ab xy)\right\}}$	$ \begin{array}{c} d_{A_{l}}, d_{A_{o}}, d_{B_{l}}, d_{B_{o}} \\ \{A_{a x}\}, \{B_{b y}\} \\ W \end{array} $

Semi-device-independent

Given quantities	Variables
$\frac{\{p^{Q}(ab xy)\}}{\{\overline{B}_{b y}\}}$	$ \begin{array}{c} d_{A_I}, \ d_{A_O} \\ \{A_{a x}\} \end{array} $
	W

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Process assemblage:

$$w_{a|x} := \operatorname{tr}(WA_{a|x} \otimes I)$$

Process assemblage:

$$w_{a|x} := \operatorname{tr}(WA_{a|x} \otimes I)$$

Causally separable process assemblage:

$$w_{a|x}^{\mathsf{sep}} := \mathsf{tr}(W^{\mathsf{sep}}A_{a|x} \otimes I)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Process assemblage:

$$w_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{x}} \coloneqq \mathsf{tr}(W\!\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{x}} \otimes I)$$

Causally separable process assemblage:

$$w_{a|x}^{\mathsf{sep}} := \mathsf{tr}(W^{\mathsf{sep}}A_{a|x} \otimes I)$$

• Abstract causally separable assemblage: $w_{a|x}^{A < B}$ such that $tr(w_{a|x}^{A < B}B_{b|y}) = p^{A < B}(ab|xy)$

Process assemblage:

$$w_{a|x} := \operatorname{tr}(WA_{a|x} \otimes I)$$

Causally separable process assemblage:

$$w^{\mathsf{sep}}_{a|x} := \mathsf{tr}(W^{\mathsf{sep}}A_{a|x} \otimes I)$$

 Abstract causally separable assemblage: w^{A<B}_{a|x} such that tr(w^{A<B}_{a|x}B_{b|y}) = p^{A<B}(ab|xy)

 Abstract causally separable assemblage:

$$w^{\mathsf{asep}}_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{x}} := q w^{A < B}_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{x}} + (1-q) w^{B < A}_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{x}}$$

Main result 1

Theorem

All abstract causally separable process assemblage can be realised by causally separable process matrix. That is, $\exists W^{sep}, \{A_{a|x}\}$ such that

$$w_{a|x}^{asep} = \operatorname{tr}(W^{sep}A_{a|x} \otimes I) = w_{a|x}^{sep}.$$

Moreover, deciding if a process assemblage is causally separable can be phrased as a semi-definite program.

"No analogue to Schrödinger's theorem"

Theorem

There exists an abstract assemblage with no process matrix realisation.

$$w_{a|x} \neq \operatorname{tr}(W^{\mathcal{A}}_{a|x} \otimes I)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

General statements about the process matrix?

How about statements for the process matrix?

Main result 2

Theorem

Let W be a bipartite process matrix. If W^{T_B} is causally separable, W is semi-device independent causally separable

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Main result 2

Theorem (This work)

Let W be a bipartite process matrix. If W^{T_B} is causally separable, W is semi-device independent causally separable.

Theorem (Feix et al)

Let W be a bipartite process matrix. If W^{T_B} is causally separable, W is device independent causally separable.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Causally nonseparable processes admitting a causal model (2016) A. Feix, M. Araújo, Č. Brukner

Too abstract...

OK, nice. But... how do we "realise" such indefinite causal order processes ??

Quantum Switch



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへの

Quantum computations without definite causal structure (2013) G. Chiribella, G. M. D'Ariano, P.Perinotti, B. Valiron

Quantum Switch



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Quantum computations without definite causal structure (2013) G. Chiribella, G. M. D'Ariano, P.Perinotti, B. Valiron



The quantum switch a process with indefinite causal order.But cannot lead to device-independent certification...

Witnessing causal nonseparability (2015)

M. Araújo, C. Branciard, F. Costa, A. Feix, C. Giarmatzi, Č. Brukner



・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・日・ つへぐ

Main result 3

Theorem

In the UUT scenario, the quantum switch is semi-device-independent causally separable.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Main result 3

Theorem (This work)

In the UUT scenario, the quantum switch is semi-device-independent causally separable.

Theorem (Araújo et al)

The quantum switch is device-independent causally separable.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Witnessing causal nonseparability (2015) M. Araújo, C. Branciard, F. Costa, A. Feix, C. Giarmatzi, Č. Brukner

How about other scenarios?



How about other scenarios?

Noisy Switch:

$$W_{\text{switch}}(r) = (1-r)W_{\text{switch}} + r \frac{I}{4}$$

Critical visibility r indefinite causal order

ттт		
$r^* = 0.6118$		
Noncausal		
UTT	TTU	
$r^{*} \ge 0.1802$	$r^{*} \ge 0.5687$	
Noncausal	Noncausal	
UUT	τυυ	
$r^{*} = 0$	$r^* \ge 0.1621$	
Causal	Noncausal	
UUU		
$r^{*} = 0$		
Causal		

Applications

Analysing real experiments





Indefinite Causal Order in a Quantum Switch, PRL (2018) K. Goswami, C. Giarmatzi, M. Kewming, F. Costa, C. Branciard, J. Romero, A. G. White

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○



With these unitaries, indefinite causal order can be certified without assuming Charlie performs σ_X (Robustness: r = 0.1989)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● の < ○



Experimental Verification of an Indefinite Causal Order, Sci. Adv. (2017) G. Rubino, L. A. Rozema, A. Feix, M. Araújo, J. M. Zeuner, L. M. P., Č. Brukner, P. Walther

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● の < ○



With these instruments and states, indefinite causal order can be certified without assuming Charlie performs σ_X (Robustness: r = 0.2300)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● の < ○

 Simple framework to analyse indefinite causal order based on trusted instruments

 Simple framework to analyse indefinite causal order based on trusted instruments

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・日・ つへぐ

Certifying indefinite causal order with less hypothesis

 Simple framework to analyse indefinite causal order based on trusted instruments

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨト・日・ つへぐ

- Certifying indefinite causal order with less hypothesis
- Better understanding of the quantum switch

- Simple framework to analyse indefinite causal order based on trusted instruments
- Certifying indefinite causal order with less hypothesis
- Better understanding of the quantum switch
- Question: Can we have other interpretations? (maybe related to EPR-steering?)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Simple framework to analyse indefinite causal order based on trusted instruments
- Certifying indefinite causal order with less hypothesis
- Better understanding of the quantum switch
- Question: Can we have other interpretations? (maybe related to EPR-steering?)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Question: Are these ideas/methods useful for some computational/communication task?
Thank you!



◆□▶ ◆舂▶ ◆≧▶ ◆≧▶ ─ ≧